

UNITED S AS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| | APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/441140 | 16 Nov. 1999 | SOLOMON | | | | | | |
| | | | | EXAMINER NICHOUS | | | | | |
| | e garage | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 647 — | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | INTERVIEW SUMMARY | | | | | | | | |
| | and the Market of the Committee of the C | | | | | | | | |
| | | t's representative, PTO personne | | 14.1 | | | | | |
| (1)_ | SPE KUNZ | | (3) Docqov | RIT I | | | | | |
| (2) Examiner Nictors (4) Roger Browdy | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | of Interview 1 Te | b. 2004 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| Тур | e: Telephonic Persona | (copy is given to applicant | applicant's representative). | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Sexes No If yes, brief description: Papaged claims 150-167 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | * | | | | | | |
| Agre | ernent 🗆 was reached. 🔀 | vas not reached. | 1 | | | | | | |
| Clair | m(s) discussed: 15 | 0-167 | | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Solomon et al. (1996), US 688651 (occent patent | | | | | | | | | |
| Harran and Solomon (1996) | | | | | | | | | |
| Doc. | | | ant was reached as any other same | | | | | | |
| / . \ | | (USPTO's Fault), (2 | ent was reached, or any other com Antibodics MY2 | | | | | | |
| 3) Clarification of agregation assay, (4) Clarify statements on | | | | | | | | | |
| برد. مرک | | ا ل لل | | | | | | | |
| (0). 18 inex 5-10 and Cd. 7 inex 8-10, (5) finality of O.A. 62 mg. (5) will | | | | | | | | | |
| be withdrawn byc clams not included in previous rejection (STE Kinz | | | | | | | | | |
| mus | | | | ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be | | | | | |
| 1. 💆 | It is not necessary for applica | nt to provide a separate record of | the substance of the interview. | Superior State of the State of | | | | | |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. [| 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked. | | | | | | | | |
| Exar | niner Note: You must sign this f | orm unless it is an attachment to | another form. | | | | | | |
| FORM | PTOL-413 (REV.1-96) | | | | | | | | |

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face to face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filled by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or death. doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures SIE KUNE

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the dopplicate copy of the Form is reproved and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the chamilter is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application,
- Name of applicant - Name of examiner
- Date of interviews
- -Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An identification of the specific prior an discussed
 An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- contrary.):

 The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
 - Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by Form of Irran attachment to the Form, the exemptor submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, onis supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted 2) an identification of the claims discussed.

 - 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
 - 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
 - 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature. or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
 - 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
 - 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials. 95

(JAM) except Los reconfi



UNITED STALES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 09/441140 | 16 November 1999 | Solomon | 27/150 | | | |
| | , 🗸 | | 21/100 | | | |
| | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| | | | NICHOLS | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | | | 1647 20 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: | | | |
| | INTERV | EW SUMMARY | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applican | t's representative, PTO personnel |) : | | | | |
| (1) Km2 | | (3) | | | | |
| (2) Broudy | | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview 9 | 110/2003 | | | | | |
| Type: Telephonic Persona | I (copy is given to applicant | applicant's representative | l. | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration co | | | | | | |
| Extract Showing of Gornol Stration of | 1000000. E 163 JE 140 11 yes, t | mer description. | | | | |
| Agreement was reached. | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed 4+ 126 | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior and discussed: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Description of the general nature of | f what was agreed to if an agreem | ent was reached, or any other | comments: | | | |
| SIE Bunz agreed that 112 12 rejection of | | | | | | |
| claims 12 | 26-129 Const | ituted a F | AOM | | | |
| sherefore Finality of Poper No. B will be | | | | | | |
| withdrown your recipot of Applicant's Response. | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable | | | | | | |
| must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| Dit is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. | | | | | | |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. | | | | | | |
| 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, | | | | | | |
| rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this | form unless it is an attachment to | another form. | M_{\bullet} | | | |
| FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96) | | | $1/(1 n) \times$ | | | |

A complete written statement as to the substance of any lace-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(h) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting lavorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111.1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview hold after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, ptaced in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Conteqts" fist on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agrees that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or which it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview;

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary
 Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feets were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unloss already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a lotter selling forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.



UNITED 5 .ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NUMBER | T | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|---|
| 09/441140 | 16 | November 1999 | Solomon | 27/150 |
| | | | | EXAMINER |
| | | | | NICHOLS |
| | | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1647 9 |
| | | INTER | /IEW SUMMARY | DATE MAILED: 25 August 20 |
| All participants (applicant, applica | ınt's repr | esentative, PTO personne | el): | |
| 11) Roser B | w. | udy | (3) Christop | ner James Nichols |
| (2) | | | (4) | |
| Date of Interview | 25 | - August zor | 3 | |
| Type: Telephonic Person | nal (copy | is given to * applicant | applicant's representative |). |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration of | onducte | d: 🗆 Yes 🔀 No If yes, | , brief description: | |
| Agreement was reached. | | 49 | | |
| Identification of prior art discusse | d: | NIA | , | |
| Description of the general nature | of what | , | ment was reached, or any other Shed Sheet | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | agreed would render the claims allowable ble is available, a summary thereof must be |
| 1. It is not necessary for appli | icant to p | rovide a separate record | of the substance of the interview | w. |
| IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INC | CLUDE T APPLICA | HE SUBSTANCE OF THE | E INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Se | RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ction 713.04). If a response to the last Office ATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE |
| rejections and requirement | s that ma | ay be present in the last C requirements of the last C | Office action, and since the clain | lete response to each of the objections, ns are now allowable, this completed form lieved from providing a separate record of |
| Examiner Note: You must sign the | is form u | nless it is an attachment t | o another form. | |
| FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96) | | | | $/$ $\Lambda // M$ |

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting lavorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)

 An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted.
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbalim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 09/441,140

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Roger Browdynoted a typo on the first line of paragraph 12 on pp. 3 of the last Office Action (Paper No. 18, 22 August 2003). Said line reads "Claims 126-149 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251..." when in fact only claims 130-149 are rejected. Roger Browdy is correct in that only claims 130-149 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 for the reasons set forth at pp. 3-5 paragraphs 12-15. The first line of paragraph 12 pp. 3 of the Final Rejection (Paper No. 18, 22 August 2003) should read "Claims 130-149 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251..." Please note this correction for Paper No. 18, 22 August 2003.

. 3



UNITED S /ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 09/44/140 16 November 1999 | Soloman | | | |
| 10 / 11 | 201 | | | |
| | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | NICHOLS | | |
| | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | 10 | H7 17 | | |
| INTERV | DATE IEW SUMMARY | MAILED: 5 August 2003 | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel |): | | | |
| 11) Koger Broudu | (3) Com K | 10 7 . | | |
| (2) Julie Burke | | er Nichols | | |
| Date of Interview 5 August 2003 | | <u> </u> | | |
| Type: ☐ Telephonic ▶ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, I | • | | | |
| | | | | |
| Agreement was reached. Was not reached. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 and 126-1 | 49 (Claim 24 of | 08 358786) | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreem | ent was reached, or any other comm | ents: | | |
| (10) | ination provodure | ~ | | |
| Interview Summary (Paper No 12.5), (3) Patentably distinction | | | | |
| inventions, (4) Question of consistant prosecution; | | | | |
| (5) Examination on the merits pending aution | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | |
| 1. XIt is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of | the substance of the interview. | | | |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. | | | | |
| Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Off is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Off the interview unless box 1 above is also checked. | ice action, and since the claims are n | ow allowable, this completed form | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to | another form. | | | |

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an inferview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews. .

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures i. . . .

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments in not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner, 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No. 09/441,140 SOLOMON, BEKA Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner Gary L. Kunz 1647 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Gary L. Kunz. (3)Robert Landsman. (2) Roger Browdy. (4) Gordon Kit. Date of Interview: 13 February 2003. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 and 126-149. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: SPE Kunz promised the applicant's representative that an office action on the merits would be forthcoming within about two weeks. Additionally, he will make certain that all amendments are proper entered into the record and into PALM EXPO. . . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

PADEY NO. 16



A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135, (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracles which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the Interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (If Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner.
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

_

| | Application No. 09/441.140 | Applicant(s) | Solomon | | |
|--|--|--------------|--|----------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | 09/441,140 Examiner | <u> </u> | | | |
| , | Ungar | | Art Unit 1642 | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO |) personnel): | | # | 12.5 | |
| (1) <u>Ungar</u> | (3) Roger Brow | rdy | | 1 4 4 5 | |
| (2) Cecelia Tsang | (4) Gordon Kitt | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Date of Interview Aug 14, 2002 | _ | | | | |
| Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes | · | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: All Pending Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | |
| identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f X was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: See Attached | | | | as reached, or | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no devailable, a summary thereof must be attached.) | copy of the amendme | ents that wo | uld render the cla | aims allowable is | |
| i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM. INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record | MAL WRITTEN REPLY PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW | TO THE LAS | the last Office a like A STATEMEN werse side or of UNGAR, PH.D | ON MUST ction has | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. | | Examiner's | signature, if requ | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/441,140

Art Unit: 1642

Examiner's agree with Applicant's argument that old rule 37 CFR 1.176 is applicable and not as amended in the September 8, 2000 rule package. Examiners agree that all of the claims presently in the case after the amendment of December 31, 2001 are drawn to inventions other than as originally claimed and deleted during prosecution of the application that led to the patent which is now under reissue. Applicant agreed to voluntarily submit a new set of simplified claims reducing the number of inventions and species for initial examination on the merits, without prejudice to the continuation of prosecution of the deleted claims in a voluntarily filed divisional.

Page 2